

LIBERTY JUSTICE CENTER
Emily Rae (State Bar No. 308010)
erae@ljc.org
13341 W. U.S. Highway 290
Building 2
Austin, Texas 78737

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHINO VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL
DISTRICT, a local educational agency; OSCAR
AVILA, an individual; MONICA BOTTS, an
individual; JASON CRAIG, an individual; KRISTI
HAYS, an individual; COLE MANN, an
individual; VICTOR ROMERO, an individual;
GHEORGHE ROSCA, JR., an individual; and
LESLIE SAWYER, an individual;

Plaintiffs,

v.

GAVIN NEWSOM, in his official capacity as
Governor of the State of California; ROBERT
BONTA, in his official capacity as Attorney
General of the State of California; and TONY
THURMOND, in his official capacity as California
State Superintendent of Public Instruction;

Defendants.

Case No. _____
Temporary Case No. 2:24-at-893

**COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND
INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

1. Numerous studies assert that transgender and gender nonconforming students suffer from increased psychological, emotional, and physical harassment and abuse, and that transgender youth experience an abnormally high number of suicidal thoughts and make an abnormally high number of suicide attempts.

2. Faced with these concerns, various California school districts have adopted policies under which the school respects the wishes of students who ask to be treated as a gender different from their natal sex, while also making parents aware that the school is participating in the social transition of their child.

1 These policies ensure that school districts do not betray the extraordinary trust placed in them by parents,
2 who otherwise would be misled about a monumental change to the development of their child and to that
3 child's official and unofficial school records.

4 3. These parental notification policies often address not only gender transition but also myriad
5 other issues that parents would want or need to know about their child's education and development.

6 4. For example, if a student is injured, bullied, or exhibits suicidal behavior at school, but does
7 not want their parents to know, a school will notify the parents. If a student breaks their arm, hits their
8 head, or develops a fever, the school will immediately tell the student's parents. If a student is bullied or
9 involved in a verbal or physical fight, the school will tell the parents. If a student expresses a desire to hurt
10 or kill themselves, the school will tell the parents. So, too, must a school tell parents if a student has asked
11 the school to participate in that student's gender transition.

12 5. But through Assembly Bill 1955 ("AB 1955") California now seeks to bar schools from
13 adopting policies that would require notifying parents when their children may be at increased risk of
14 psychological, emotional, and physical harassment and abuse, and extremely high rates of suicide and
15 suicide attempts. Specifically, AB 1955 states that a "school district . . . shall not enact or enforce any
16 policy, rule, or administrative regulation that would require an employee or a contractor to disclose any
17 information related to a pupil's . . . gender identity[] or gender expression to *any other person* without the
18 pupil's consent . . ." (emphasis added). This means that, no matter how young a child is, a school cannot
19 tell the child's parents the school is socially transitioning their child without the minor's "consent."

20 6. This action is brought on behalf of Chino Valley Unified School District and certain
21 California parents of children in the public school system who seek to bar California from implementing
22 AB 1955 in violation of their First and Fourteenth Amendment rights and the Family Educational Rights
23 and Privacy Act ("FERPA").

24 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

25 7. This action arises under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 in relation to Defendants' deprivation of
26 Plaintiffs' constitutional right to free exercise of religion under the First Amendment to the U.S.
27 Constitution and right to parent under the Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution. Accordingly,
28 this Court has federal question jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343.

1 8. This Court has the authority to award the requested declaratory relief under 28 U.S.C. §§
2 2201 and 2202; the requested injunctive relief under 28 U.S.C. § 1343(a); and attorneys' fees and costs
3 under 42 U.S.C. § 1988.

4 9. The Eastern District of California is the appropriate venue for this action under 28 U.S.C. §
5 1391 because it is the judicial district in which Defendant Newsom resides and the district in which a
6 substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, and all Defendants reside in
7 California.

8 **PARTIES**

9 ***District Plaintiff***

10 10. Plaintiff Chino Valley Unified School District ("CVUSD") is a public entity established
11 and organized under California law and subject to the restrictions of the United States Constitution.
12 CVUSD is a public school district and local educational agency as defined by California Education Code
13 section 56026.3. CVUSD's responsibilities include crafting and implementing policies for the district's
14 employees and students within its jurisdiction.

15 ***Parent Plaintiffs***

16 11. Plaintiff Oscar Avila is and at all relevant times has been a resident of the State of California.
17 He is and at all relevant times has been a devout Christian who believes God created man and woman as
18 distinct, immutable genders and the parent of I.A., a rising eleventh-grade student attending public school
19 in the Chino Valley Unified School District.

20 12. Plaintiff Monica Botts is and at all relevant times has been a resident of the State of
21 California. She is and at all relevant times has been a devout Christian who believes God created man and
22 woman as distinct, immutable genders and the parent of A.B., a rising tenth-grade student attending public
23 school in the Temecula Valley Unified School District.

24 13. Plaintiff Jason Craig is and at all relevant times has been a resident of the State of California.
25 He is and at all relevant times has been a devout Christian who believes God created man and woman as
26 distinct, immutable genders and the parent of L.C., a rising kindergarten student, and M.C., a rising first-
27 grade student, both attending public school in the Temecula Valley Unified School District.

1 14. Plaintiff Kristi Hays is and at all relevant times has been a resident of the State of California.
2 She is and at all relevant times has been a devout Christian who believes God created man and woman as
3 distinct, immutable genders and the parent of T.H., a rising fifth-grade student, and T.H., a rising seventh-
4 grade student, both attending public school in the Chino Valley Unified School District.

5 15. Plaintiff Cole Mann is and at all relevant times has been a resident of the State of California.
6 She is and at all relevant times has been a devout Christian who believes God created man and woman as
7 distinct, immutable genders and the parent of M.M., a rising third-grade student, and S.M., a rising fourth-
8 grade student, both attending public school in the Temecula Valley Unified School District

9 16. Plaintiff Victor Romero is and at all relevant times has been a resident of the State of
10 California. He is and at all relevant times has been a devout Christian who believes God created man and
11 woman as distinct, immutable genders and the parent of H.R., a rising preschool student, and G.N., a rising
12 twelfth-grade student, both attending public school in the Chino Valley Unified School District.

13 17. Plaintiff Gheorghe Rosca, Jr. is and at all relevant times has been a resident of the State of
14 California. He is and at all relevant times has been a devout Christian who believes God created man and
15 woman as distinct, immutable genders and the parent of C.R., a rising tenth-grade student attending public
16 school in the Orange Unified School District.

17 18. Plaintiff Leslie Sawyer is and at all relevant times has been a resident of the State of
18 California. She is and at all relevant times has been a devout Christian who believes God created man and
19 woman as distinct, immutable genders and the parent of J.S., a rising preschool student, J.S., a rising fifth-
20 grade student, and J.S., a rising ninth-grade student, all attending public school in the Pacheco Union
21 School District and Anderson Union High School District.

22 19. Each of the Parent Plaintiffs objects on both conscience and religious grounds to their public
23 schools withholding information about changes to their child's gender identity from them and seek
24 declaratory and injunctive relief preventing AB 1955 from being enforced against their child or children.

25 ***Defendants***

26 20. Defendant Gavin Newsom is the Governor of California. The California Constitution vests
27 the "supreme executive power of the State" in the Governor, who "shall see that the law is faithfully
28 executed." Cal. Const. art. V, § 1. Defendant Newsom is sued in his official capacity.

1 means that if a four-year-old student requested to change their gender at school, their parents might not be
2 notified without that four-year-old's consent.

3 30. Notifying parents of significant events that impact their children at school—such as when
4 they are injured, bullied, or express a desire to self-harm—is, of course, the norm. But AB 1955 carves out
5 special exceptions for school policies that have the effect of notifying parents if their child asks their school
6 to facilitate the child's social transition due to changes in their gender identity.

7 ***Socially Transitioning Children Without Parental Involvement Negatively Impacts Children***

8 31. A social transition can include more than just name and pronoun changes—individuals
9 adopting a transgender identity sometimes change their hairstyle, clothing, or their appearance in other
10 ways; begin using opposite-sex facilities; and make other social changes. In medical and psychological
11 literature, however, the phrase “social transition” is primarily used to refer to name and pronoun changes.
12 “Social transition” is distinct from medical transition, which refers to various medical interventions to
13 bring one's physical appearance into closer alignment with one's asserted gender identity, such as puberty
14 blockers, cross-sex hormone therapy, and various surgical interventions. The primary therapeutic purpose
15 of social transitioning is to relieve the psychological distress associated with having a mismatch between
16 one's natal sex and gender identity.

17 32. The World Professional Association for Transgender Health (“WPATH”) is a scientific,
18 professional, and educational organization that, among other things, produces a set of recommendations
19 for transgender health care. Its “Standards of Care” document (“SOC”) is one of the more widely known
20 and cited set of guidelines for transgender care, though its recommendations are not universally agreed
21 upon by professionals in the field.

22 33. Aside from a few limited exceptions, medical and mental-health providers generally cannot
23 see or treat a minor without informed consent from the parent(s)/legal guardian(s), both as a matter of state
24 laws and as a matter of medical ethics. *Standards of Care for the Health of Transgender and Gender*
25 *Diverse People, Version 8*, WPATH, International J. Trans. Health 2022, Vol. 23, No. S1, S1–S258 (2022),
26 available at <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/26895269.2022.2100644> (“WPATH SOC8”)
27 at S61 (“In most settings, for minors, the legal guardian is integral to the informed consent process: if a
28

1 treatment is to be given, the legal guardian (often the parent[s]/caregiver[s]) provides the informed consent
2 to do so.”).

3 34. This is because children and adolescents lack the “skills for future thinking, planning, big
4 picture thinking, and self-reflection” that are necessary for informed decision-making. *Id.* at S62.

5 35. Minors’ decisions are often influenced by factors that are unrelated to their long-term best
6 interests, such as “a sense of urgency that stems from hypersensitivity to reward,” a “heightened focus on
7 peer relationships,” and “increased risk-taking behaviors.” *Id.* at S44. In light of the ongoing and unfinished
8 development of emotional and cognitive maturity during childhood and adolescence, “[i]n most settings,
9 for minors, the legal guardian is integral to the informed consent process.” *Id.* at S61.

10 36. Parental involvement is also necessary as a practical matter. Many children and adolescents
11 could not get to any appointments with a mental-health provider without their parents’ assistance. And
12 most children and adolescents do not have their own health insurance and would have no way to pay for
13 those appointments.

14 37. Parental involvement is also important for accurate diagnosis, as parents often have a critical
15 perspective on the history and likely causes of a child’s or adolescent’s gender questioning feelings. Parents
16 are often the only people who have frequently and regularly interacted with a child or adolescent
17 throughout the child’s or adolescent’s entire life, and therefore they have a unique view of the child’s
18 development over time. Indeed, parents often have more knowledge than even the child or adolescent does
19 of whether their child or adolescent exhibited any signs of gender incongruence or gender dysphoria during
20 the earliest years of life.

21 38. Thus, parental involvement is a critical part of the diagnostic process to evaluate how long
22 the child or adolescent has been experiencing gender incongruence, whether there might be any external
23 cause of those feelings, and a prediction of how likely those feelings are to persist.

24 39. WPATH, for example, notes that “parent(s)/caregiver(s) may provide key information for
25 the clinical team, such as the young person’s gender and overall developmental, medical, and mental health
26 history as well as insights into the young person’s level of current support, general functioning, and well-
27 being.” *Id.* at S58.

1 40. And, as WPATH notes, “a parent/caregiver report may provide critical context in situations
2 in which a young person experiences very recent or sudden self-awareness of gender diversity and a
3 corresponding gender treatment request, or when there is concern for possible excessive peer and social
4 media influence on a young person’s current self-gender concept.” *Id.* Thus, the reconstructed history from
5 a child or adolescent often does not match the reported history from the parent. Likewise, children and
6 adolescents often acknowledge that they have consumed many hours of social media from other
7 transgender youth and have absorbed these experiences in some personal way.

8 41. Indeed, WPATH’s SOC8 recommends “involving parent(s) or primary caregiver(s) in the
9 assessment process . . . in almost all situations,” and adds that “including parent(s)/caregiver(s) in the
10 assessment process to encourage and facilitate increased parental understanding and support of the
11 adolescent may be one of the most helpful practices available.” *Id.* This is why it is critical that healthcare
12 providers, parents, and the child work together and come to consensus about the truth about each individual
13 child. This requires healthcare providers to meet with the parent(s) before seeing a child or adolescent, to
14 get their perspective on when, where, and how their child’s feelings began, and continue to meet with
15 parents throughout the assessment process as well, as necessary.

16 42. Given the need for informed consent, as explained above, parental involvement is a
17 necessary prerequisite for any kind of treatment by a medical professional. For example, a child
18 experiencing depression/anxiety related to gender incongruence ordinarily could not receive counseling or
19 medication to treat the depression/anxiety without the informed consent of a parent/guardian.

20 43. Parents should also be involved to make important decisions about next steps for their minor
21 child or adolescent, especially given the somewhat complicated risk-benefit calculus in this context and
22 the limited knowledge about long-term effects and outcomes.

23 44. WPATH recommends that mental health providers “should provide guidance *to*
24 *parents/caregivers* and supports to a child when a social gender transition is being considered” and to
25 “facilitate the parents/caregivers’ success in making informed decisions about the advisability and/or
26 parameters of a social transition for their child.” *Id.* at S78.

27 45. Thus, a school-facilitated transition without parental knowledge and buy-in interferes with
28 the parents’ ability to pursue a careful, investigative assessment before undergoing a gender identity

1 transition. If a school facilitates a social transition at school without parental knowledge and buy-in, it
2 necessarily interferes with the parents’ ability to take a cautious approach and pursue an evaluation and
3 assessment before allowing their child or adolescent to make significant changes to their identity. A school-
4 facilitated transition without parental knowledge also interferes with parents’ ability to pursue a treatment
5 approach that does not involve an immediate transition—such as an exploratory process to understand the
6 cause of the feelings or self-perceptions of gender incongruence.

7 46. A school-facilitated transition over the objection of parents (or, possibly worse, without
8 their knowledge) necessarily creates tension in the parent-child relationship. A common principle in the
9 training for psychotherapists who work with children and adolescents is to never create or aggravate any
10 tensions in the parent-child relationship. By facilitating a social transition at school over the parents’
11 objection or without their knowledge, a school would drive a wedge between the parent and child.

12 47. Similarly, facilitating a double life for some children, in which they present as transgender
13 in some contexts but not in other contexts, is not in their best interest.

14 48. WPATH recognizes that “social transition for children typically can only take place with
15 the support and acceptance of parents/caregivers.” *Id.* at S77. Likewise, “adolescents are typically
16 dependent on their caregivers/parents for guidance in numerous ways,” including as they “navigate[]
17 through the process of deciding about treatment options.” *Id.* at S49.

18 49. As WPATH notes elsewhere, “[p]arent and family support of TGD youth is a primary
19 predictor of youth well-being.” *Id.* at S58. Circumventing, bypassing, or excluding parents from decisions
20 about a social transition undermines the main support structure for a child or adolescent who desperately
21 needs support.

22 50. Indeed, Plaintiffs are not aware of any professional body that has endorsed school-
23 facilitated social transitions without parental knowledge.

24 51. Thus, when a child presents with a desire to use a new name or pronouns, the very first step
25 should be to notify parents and involve them in the process of considering whether the child should undergo
26 a careful professional assessment by a mental health professional with expertise in child gender
27 incongruence.

1 ***Parental Notification Policies Are Both Constitutional and Necessary***

2 52. PK-12 minor students, most of whom are too young to drive, vote, or provide medical
3 consent for themselves, are also too young to make life-altering decisions about their expressed gender
4 identity without their parents' knowledge.

5 53. But that is precisely what AB 1955 enables, with severe consequences for children too
6 young to fully comprehend them.

7 54. A number of school districts in California had, prior to AB 1955's passage, enacted policies
8 designed to protect students, and to protect parents' rights "to determine, without undue interference by
9 the State, how best to raise, nurture, and educate the child." *Troxel v. Granville*, 350 U.S. 57, 67-68 (2000).

10 55. For example, Plaintiff CVUSD's Board Policy 5010 provides in part that parents be notified
11 "in writing, within three days from the date any District employee, administrator, or certified staff, becomes
12 aware that a student is requesting to change any information contained in the student's official or unofficial
13 records." To the extent that a child requests that their school facilitate socially transitioning the student by,
14 for example, changing their preferred name or pronouns that are reflected on official or unofficial records,
15 Board Policy 5010 requires that parents be notified of that change to their child's records.

16 56. CVUSD is hardly alone. *See, e.g.*, Anderson Union High School District Board Policy
17 5010.11; Murietta Valley Unified School District Board Policy 5020; Orange County Board of Education
18 Policy 600-2; Orange Unified School District Board Policy 5020; Rocklin Unified School District Board
19 Policy 5020; Temecula Valley Unified School District Board Policy 5020.

20 57. Social transition is an impactful psychotherapeutic intervention. It may or may not be the
21 best therapeutic approach for any specific child. Parents must be notified and involved in the process to
22 determine whether social transition is appropriate. CVUSD's Board Policy 5010, for example, facilitates
23 this process when a child's request to social transition requires changes to the student's official or unofficial
24 school records. Any contrary policies that may require immediate social transition of children who request
25 it may increase persistence among children who may have desisted had they received evaluation by a
26 competent mental health professional. Persistence for such children is not in their best long-term interest.

27 58. Parental notification policies like those adopted by CVUSD and other school districts are
28 consistent with best practices relating to parental notification when a child or adolescent expresses a desire

1 to be socially transitioned at school insofar as they encourage and facilitate maintaining the relationship
2 between parents and their children. Best mental health practices abhor activity that maintains secrets
3 between children and their parents, which create distrust and tension. In all cases, parental consent is
4 required to provide medical and psychological treatment to minors. In part, this is because the science of
5 mental health recognizes that the best evidence regarding a minor's mental and emotional well-being
6 comes from first-hand accounts by parents, rather than potentially biased accounts from immature children.

7 **CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

8 **First Claim for Relief:**

9 **Violation of Substantive Due Process of the Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution:**
10 **Violation of Parental Rights**

11 **(Parent Plaintiffs/All Defendants)**

12 59. The allegations contained in all preceding paragraphs are incorporated herein by reference.

13 60. The Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution provides that no State shall "deprive
14 any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law." U.S. Const. amend. XIV.

15 61. Parents have a constitutional right "to make decisions concerning the care, custody, and
16 control of their children." *Troxel v. Granville*, 530 U.S. 57 (2000). This includes the right to direct their
17 children's upbringing and education. *Meyer v. Nebraska*, 262 U.S. 390 (1923); *Pierce v. Society of Sisters*,
18 269 U.S. 510 (1925); *Wisconsin v. Yoder*, 406 U.S. 205 (1972).

19 62. Parental rights are not secondary to the desires of government agencies. "Simply because
20 the decision of a parent is not agreeable to a child, or because it involves risks, does not automatically
21 transfer the power to make that decision from the parents to some agency or officer of the state." *Parham*
22 *v. J.R.*, 442 U.S. 584, 603 (1979).

23 63. Parents also have "broad parental authority over minor children." *Id.* And there is an
24 "assumption that minors will benefit from consultation with their parents." *Planned Parenthood of Se. Pa.*
25 *v. Casey*, 505 U.S. 895 (1992), overruled on other grounds by *Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Org.*,
26 142 S. Ct. 2228 (2022).

1 71. Compelling the Parent Plaintiffs to allow their child’s school to socially transition their child
2 to a new gender without their knowledge or involvement violates Parent Plaintiffs’ rights to direct the
3 upbringing of their children in accordance with their religious beliefs.

4 72. The Parent Plaintiffs are entitled to declaratory relief; temporary, preliminary, and
5 permanent injunctive relief invalidating and restraining enforcement of AB 1955; and attorneys’ fees and
6 costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1988.

7 **Third Claim for Relief:**

8 **The Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act preempts AB 1955’s ban on informing parents of**
9 **their child’s gender identity.**

10 **(All Plaintiffs/All Defendants)**

11 73. The allegations contained in all preceding paragraphs are incorporated herein by reference.

12 74. The Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act governs communications between a school
13 and the parents of a student regarding that student’s education and education records. 20 U.S.C. § 1232g.

14 75. FERPA defines “education records” as documents that “contain information directly related
15 to a student” and “are maintained by an educational agency or institution.” 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(a)(4)(A).

16 76. Schools that receive federal funds must guarantee parent access to student education records
17 and the ability to contest and correct errors within those records. 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(a)(2); *Owasso Indept.*
18 *Sch. Dist. No. I-011 v. Falvo*, 534 U.S. 426, 435 (2002).

19 77. Any record created by a school pertaining to a child’s gender “transition” would necessarily
20 be a record that “contain[s] information directly related to a student” and is “maintained by an educational
21 agency” and therefore fall within the coverage of FERPA.

22 78. As such, those records must be accessible to parents.

23 79. To the extent a child requests to socially transition at school by changing their name or
24 pronouns, and to that extent that information must be reflected in school records in accordance with
25 FERPA, AB 1955 therefore compels Plaintiff CVUSD employees to violate FERPA and deprives the
26 Parent Plaintiffs of their rights under FERPA.

1 80. Plaintiffs are entitled to declaratory relief; temporary, preliminary, and permanent
2 injunctive relief invalidating and restraining enforcement of AB 1955; and attorneys' fees and costs
3 pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1988.

4 **Fourth Claim for Relief:**

5 **Declaratory (under 28 U.S.C. § 2201(a)) and Injunctive Relief**
6 **On the Basis of Federal Constitutional Preemption**

7 **(Plaintiff Chino Valley Unified School District/All Defendants)**

8 81. The allegations contained in all preceding paragraphs are incorporated herein by reference.

9 82. AB 1955 compels Plaintiff CVUSD to violate the Parent Plaintiffs' parental rights.

10 83. As government agencies and employees thereof, Plaintiff CVUSD must comply with the
11 U.S. Constitution or risk monetary liability.

12 84. Plaintiff CVUSD asserts that AB 1955 is preempted by federal constitutional law and
13 impermissibly interferes with parental rights. *See Cal. Rest. Ass'n v. City of Berkeley*, 547 F. Supp. 3d 878,
14 888 (N.D. Cal. 2021), *jurisd. aff'd, merits rev'd*, 89 F.4th 1094 (9th Cir. 2024) (cleaned up) (“[W]hen a
15 plaintiff seeks to enjoin state action because federal law preempts it, jurisdiction is proper.”).

16 85. Plaintiff CVUSD seeks a determination that the First and Fourteenth Amendments
17 precludes the government—namely, public school teachers and other employees—from withholding
18 information from parents regarding changes to their child's gender identity or expression.

19 86. There is no plain, speedy, and adequate remedy at law to protect Plaintiff CVUSD's rights.
20 Therefore, Plaintiff CVUSD seeks a declaration from this Court that AB 1955 violates the U.S.
21 Constitution.

22 87. Further, because Plaintiff CVUSD's parental notification policy (Board Policy 5010) does
23 not discuss or even mention gender—and instead only requires parents to be notified if a student requests
24 to change their official or unofficial school records—Plaintiff CVUSD asserts that its Board Policy 5010
25 falls outside of the scope of AB 1955.

26 88. Plaintiff CVUSD is entitled to declaratory relief; temporary, preliminary, and permanent
27 injunctive relief invalidating and restraining enforcement of AB 1955; in the alternative, a declaration that
28

1 Board Policy 5010 does not violate AB 1955; and attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983
2 and 1988.

3 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

4 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants for the following:

- 5 A. An order and judgment declaring that AB 1955 violates the First and Fourteenth Amendments to
6 the U.S. Constitution and FERPA;
- 7 B. An order temporarily, preliminary, and permanently enjoining and prohibiting Defendants from
8 enforcing AB 1955;
- 9 C. In the alternative, an order and judgment that Plaintiff CVUSD's Board Policy 5010 does not
10 violate AB 1955;
- 11 D. Attorneys' fees and costs; and
- 12 E. Any other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

13 Respectfully Submitted,

14 Dated: July 16, 2024

LIBERTY JUSTICE CENTER

15
16 By: *Emily Rae* _____
17 Emily Rae
18 Attorney for Plaintiffs
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28